

Building capacity for excellence in service provision for people with disabilities



Challenges and Approaches to Quality of Life Outcome Measurement and Assessment

Outcome and Impact Measurement for (Social) Services and Interventions THURSDAY 16TH DEC 2021

DONAL MCANANEY

10:00 – 12:30 CET Online

WHAT IS OUTCOME MEASUREMENT

- Outcomes refer to the effects of treatment, programs or policies on individuals or populations.
- Outcomes may also be defined as changes in status attributed to a specific intervention or treatment.
- For rehabilitation and care professionals and allied health services outcomes may be thought of as changes in the lives of clients and their environment(s) as a result of a service.



WHY MEASURE OUTCOMES?

• To understand:

- The overall impact of an intervention on a client,
- The specific impact of an intervention or approach in response to a client's needs,
- The relative outcomes in similar clients in order to identify the extent of benefit achieved from the services provided (i.e., those who benefit most and least),
- The relationship between intervention outcomes to resources used,
- How to plan rehabilitation services to achieve client goals, service delivery goals and system goals



CONTRIBUTION OF OUTCOME MEASUREMENT TO EVIDENCE-INFORMED PRACTICE

- Provides the ability to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of services or interventions
- Differentiates between effective and ineffective aspects of service programs/service providers/disciplines
- Guides policy & clinical care decisions
- Allows comparisons over time and across programs
- Enables justification and the demonstration of accountability to program stakeholders and consumers.



THE 5 PS OF OUTCOME MEASUREMENTS

- Person served: All efforts focused on people who seek our help
 - *Participation:* Real-world integration as the outcome of importance
 - Performance: Demonstrated individual and program performance on key indicators
 - Process: Focus on evidence-based process steps that produce outcome and value
 - Public: Performance data transparently displayed for public review



SUBJECTIVE AND OBJECTIVE QOL OUTCOME INDICATORS

Subjective

Phenomenological feelings about wellbeing.

Estimates of satisfaction with life in general or with specific life dimensions

Objective

Norm referenced measures of function/wellbeing Social indicators or outward material circumstances employment or marital status, salary or physical function or symptoms assessed by an external observer



TERMS EQUATED WITH QUALITY OF LIFE

Life satisfaction
Self-esteem
Well-being
Health
Happiness
Adjustment
Functional status
Value of life



STANDARDISED TOOLS IN QOL OUTCOME MEASUREMENT

- A requirement to carry out a pre-post administration
- A lack of specificity to service delivery
- A focus on specific types of disabilities
- Health or Social Care Related QoL
- The scope of items and content
- Relevance to intended service and programme outcomes



> QUALITY CRITERIA FOR A QOL OUTCOME MEASUREMENT TOOL

- Validity (the instrument should measure what it purports to measure),
- Responsiveness (the instrument should be sensitive to changes of importance to patients),
- Precision (the number and accuracy of distinctions made by an instrument),
- Interpretability (how meaningful the instruments' scores are),
- Acceptability (how acceptable do respondents find its completion?),
- Feasibility (the amount of effort, burden and disruption to practitioners and services arising from the use of an instrument)



CHALLENGES IN CREATING A QOL OUTCOME MEASUREMENT TOOL

- Consistency
- Response shift
- Response bias
- Acquiescence
- 3rd Party proxy ratings
- Balancing test-re-test reliability and sensitivity to change
- Confirmation from other sources



UNIC - TOWARDS A USER-CENTRED MODEL OF LONG-TERM CARE

- UNIC sets out to support the transition to user-centred funding models in longterm care.
- The approach adopted is to develop instruments that can assist in enhancing the take-up and scale of personal budgets.



> UNIC - QUALITY MONITORING TOOL (QMT)

- The aim of the QMT is to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the personal budget system.
- It is intended to be a self-assessment tool that personal budget holders can use to:
 - Monitor the <u>impact</u> that the personal budget system has on the quality of their lives
 - Understand if they enjoy their human <u>rights</u>; and if their rights are respected by others
 - Evaluate if the already <u>existing services and legal frameworks</u> in place are <u>sufficient</u> for that



> QMT – PURPOSE OF THE PERSONAL BUDGET

My personal budget was granted in order to provide me with access to:		
1	Therapeutic interventions	
2	Appropriate assistive technologies	
3	Environmental accommodations	
4	Assistance with activities of daily living	
5	Personal Assistance	
6	Lifelong learning or education opportunities	
7	Services that can help me at my workplace or to find employment	
8	Support for community participation	
9	Assistance to increase mobility from point A to point B	
10	The means to maintain or enhance your standard of living such the provision of accessible housing	
11	Other:	



QMT – IMPACT OF THE PERSONAL BUDGET ON QUALITY OF LIFE

Indonondonco	Personal Development
Independence:	Self-Determination
Social Participation:	Interpersonal Skills
	Social Inclusion
	Rights
Wellbeing:	Emotional Wellbeing
	Physical Wellbeing
	Material Wellbeing



QMT – THE EXPERIENCE OF THE PERSONAL BUDGET PROCESS



